| | Case 2:23-cv-01604-DB Document 5 | Filed 10/03/23 | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|----------------|-------------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | ANJI LYNN BAKER, SR., | No. 2:23-cv-16 | 504 DB |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> | |
| 14 | KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting | | |
| 15 | Commissioner of Social Security, | | |
| 16 | Defendant. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff has filed a complaint seeking judicial review of a final decision of the | | |
| 19 | Commissioner denying plaintiff's application for benefits and an application to proceed in forma | | |
| 20 | pauperis. | | |
| 21 | Although this action has been filed in the Sacramento Division of the United States | | |
| 22 | District Court for the Eastern District of California, the complaint alleges that plaintiff's primary | | |
| 23 | residence is in Fresno County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District | | |
| 24 | Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d). | | |
| 25 | Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper | | |
| 26 | division of this court in accordance with Local Rule 120(d) may, on the court's own motion, be | | |
| 27 | transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the | | |
| 28 | Fresno Division of this court for all further proceedings. | | |
| | | 1 | |

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern 3 District of California sitting in Fresno; and 4 2. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned by the 5 Clerk of the Court and shall be filed at 1: 6 **United States District Court** 7 Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street 8 Fresno, CA 93721 9 DATED: October 3, 2023 /s/ DEBORAH BARNES 10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 DLB:6 22 DLB1\orders.soc sec\baker1604.transfer.ord 23 24 ¹ On September 25, 2023, plaintiff's counsel filed a status report stating that plaintiff's motion to 25 proceed in forma pauperis "has been awaiting action since August 3, 2023," and asking that it be "given a higher priority[.]" (ECF No. 4 at 1.) Plaintiff's counsel is advised that the "Eastern 26 District of California is suffering from an ongoing judicial emergency" and an "overwhelming caseload[.]" McCoy v. City of Vallejo, No. 2:19-cv-1191 JAM CKD, 2021 WL 492535, at *2 27 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2021). Such filings only add the court's work. Plaintiff is advised that future

filings in this vein will be looked upon with disfavor.

28